Incarcerated Pediatric Hernias



Sophia A. Abdulhai, мd, Ian C. Glenn, мd, Todd A. Ponsky, мd*

KEYWORDS

• Incarcerated pediatric hernia • Inguinal hernia • Umbilical hernia • Spigelian hernia

• Femoral hernia

KEY POINTS

- Indirect inguinal hernias are the most commonly incarcerated hernias in children, with a higher incidence in low birth weight and premature infants.
- Contralateral groin exploration to evaluate for a patent processus vaginalis or subclinical hernia is controversial, even laparoscopically, given that most never progress to clinical hernias.
- Most indirect inguinal hernias can be reduced nonoperatively. Given the high risk of recurrence and morbidity, it is recommended to repair them in a timely fashion, even in premature infants.
- Laparoscopic repair of incarcerated inguinal hernia repair is considered a safe and effective alternative to conventional open herniorrhaphy.
- Other incarcerated pediatric hernias (umbilical, femoral, spigelian, epigastric, lumbar, and direct inguinal), which are extremely rare, may be managed effectively with laparoscopy.

INTRODUCTION

Indirect inguinal hernias are the most common incarcerated pediatric inguinal hernias, although incarceration of other pediatric hernias, such as femoral, umbilical, spigelian, epigastric, direct inguinal, and lumbar, has been reported in the literature. This article discusses the current literature on the diagnosis and management of incarcerated hernias.

INDIRECT INGUINAL HERNIA Epidemiology

Indirect inguinal hernia is one of the most common surgical conditions seen by pediatric surgeons.¹ The overall incidence of indirect inguinal hernias ranges from 0.8% to 5% in full-term infants,^{2,3} but the risk is significantly increased in low birth weight (<1 kg) and premature infants, with a prevalence up to 30%.^{3,4} The risk of incarceration

E-mail address: TPonsky@chmca.org

Division of Pediatric Surgery, Akron Children's Hospital, One Perkins Square, Akron, OH 44308, USA * Corresponding author.

in children ranges from 3% to 16%, although it is as high as 31% in premature infants, with most occurring within the first year of life.^{5,6} Inguinal hernias are more common in boys compared with girls (5:1 ratio), but girls have a higher incidence of bilateral inguinal hernias compared with boys (25.4% vs 12.9%). There does not seem to be a difference in rate of incarceration between boys and girls.^{2,7}

Anatomy

An indirect inguinal hernia is a congenital abnormality from the failure of the processus vaginalis to close. The processus vaginalis is an outpouching of peritoneum that, along with the gubernaculum, guides the testes in their descent through the inguinal ring into the scrotum. In girls, the canal of Nuck, which is functionally similar to the processus vaginalis, terminates in the labia majora and assists in guiding the ovaries to their final location in the pelvis. The processus vaginalis and canal of Nuck both close between 36 and 40 weeks of gestation. The left testis descends before the right and commonly closes first, resulting in a higher incidence of right-sided inguinal hernias (60%).^{1,8}

Clinical Presentation/Diagnosis

Most inguinal hernias are asymptomatic, and they are often found during routine physical examination, or by a parent. It presents as intermittent bulging in the groin, scrotum, or labia, often with straining. An incarcerated hernia presents as an irreducible nonfluctuant bulge that is tender and may be erythematous. The child is usually inconsolable, and may have obstructive symptoms such as nausea/vomiting, lack of bowel function, and abdominal distention. If incarceration progresses to strangulation, the child may have peritonitis, bloody stools, and hemodynamic instability.

Other conditions may be confused for an incarcerated hernia, such as a retractile testis, lymphadenopathy, and hydrocele.⁸ Although ultrasonography has been described as a tool to help differentiate these causes,⁹ physical examination can help make the correct diagnosis. For example, if the clinician's fingers can discretely feel the upper edge of the bulge in the scrotum, then it is likely a hydrocele because a hernia has bowel going up into the inguinal canal. Also, a hydrocele should not be tender. Abdominal radiograph may show dilated loops of bowel and/or air fluid levels consistent with a bowel obstruction.

Nonoperative Management

Unless there is evidence of bowel compromise, peritonitis, or hemodynamic instability, nonoperative reduction should be attempted because 70% to 95% of incarcerated inguinal hernias are successfully reduced.^{5,10,11} Reduction attempts are usually performed using sedation and analgesics, although there is not a standardized protocol, and pharmacotherapy should be at the discretion of the provider.¹²

The following is the preferred technique of the authors for nonoperative reduction. The patient is placed in the supine position. One hand should be placed above the external ring, with fingers around the hernia neck to keep it fixed in place and prevent the hernia contents from sliding over the external ring. The other hand should provide simultaneous moderate and steady pressure on the hernia contents toward the abdominal cavity along the axis of the inguinal canal and internal ring. Continuous pressure may help push out some of the bowel edema and regular, delicate movement of the fingers on the hernia sac may move the hernia contents, both aiding in reduction.¹³ It may take several minutes to successfully reduce the hernia.

If the inguinal hernia is unable to be reduced, or there is concern for an incomplete reduction, then operative reduction should be performed emergently. Although it is unlikely to reduce gangrenous bowel successfully, it has been reported to be possible in the literature, so there should be close observation of the patient afterward.¹⁴

Timing of Surgery

Many children presenting with incarcerated hernias have a previously diagnosed inguinal hernia. Stylianos and colleagues⁵ found that 35% of patients presenting with incarcerated hernias had a previously diagnosed inguinal hernia. Similarly, Niedzielski and colleagues¹⁵ reported that 52.9% of their 153 patients with incarcerated inguinal hernias had a prior episode of incarceration. The risk of postoperative complications such as testicular atrophy, bowel ischemia, wound infections, and hernia recurrence are increased in incarcerated hernias (4.5%–33% compared with 1% in elective hernia repairs in healthy, full-term infants), with the highest risk being in those with irreducible inguinal hernias.^{5,15–17} Given the risk of recurrent incarceration after a successful reduction, it is recommended that herniorrhaphy be performed during the same hospitalization after a period of time, from 24 hours to within 5 days, to allow edema to resolve.^{5,15,18,19} Some clinicians choose to discharge home with a reliable family with plans for hernia repair in the very near future.

Premature and low birth weight infants

There is controversy as to the optimal timing of herniorrhaphy in premature and low birth weight infants. The current practice, according to a survey of pediatric surgeons by Antonoff and colleagues,²⁰ is that 63% operate before discharge from the neonatal intensive care unit (ICU), 18% operate depending on patient age and weight, and 5% operate when it is convenient. As stated earlier, premature and low birth weight infants have the highest risk of infarction, but they also have a risk of anesthesia-related post-operative cardiopulmonary issues such as apnea, bradycardia, and even cardiopulmonary arrest. This risk was initially reported to be as high as 49%, but more recent data show the risk closer to 5%, with these complications mainly occurring in patients with preexisting apnea.²¹ Alternatively, by waiting, the patient has a higher risk of incarceration, with one study reporting double the risk after 40 weeks of age compared with those repaired before 39 weeks.⁴ There is also a higher risk of postoperative complications with incarcerated hernias, although there are some data to suggest that prematurity may be a bigger risk factor for developing complications.^{18,22,23}

There is no clear consensus on the optimal time for surgery in low birth weight and premature infants, so the decision needs to be made on a case-by-case basis by the surgeon.

Anesthesia/Preoperative Planning

General anesthesia is more commonly used for inguinal herniorrhaphy, especially for acutely incarcerated and laparoscopic cases. Spinal anesthesia is being used in preterm infants, and, per a recent Cochrane Review, it may have a lower risk of postoperative cardiopulmonary issues when used without sedation.²⁴ Regional and local anesthetic may be used for postoperative pain control.

Patients need adequate intravenous access for fluid resuscitation and consideration of placement of a Foley catheter for close monitoring of urine output. A nasogastric tube should be placed before induction if the patient has symptoms of a bowel obstruction.

Open Repair

The technique is similar to an elective open repair with high ligation of the sac, except a longer skin incision is usually needed with incarcerated hernias to adequately reduce and inspect the hernia contents.

The patient is placed in the supine position and the external landmarks, pubic tubercle, and anterior superior iliac spine are identified to approximate the location of the inguinal canal. A skin incision is made along the inguinal crease superior and lateral to the pubic tubercle. The layers of the abdominal wall are dissected down until reaching the external oblique muscle. In incarcerated and strangulated hernias, the tissue may be extremely edematous and friable so extra care in identifying the cord structures is necessary. The external oblique muscle is then divided through the external ring, exposing the hernia sac and the cord structures. After clearing the superior and inferior flaps of the external oblique muscle using blunt dissection, the sac should be carefully dissected away from the cord structures up to the internal ring. The sac should then be opened to evaluate the hernia contents. If the bowel appears viable, it should be replaced intraperitoneally. If the internal ring appears widened from repeat episodes of incarceration, then it may need to be closed to minimize the potential for future episodes of recurrence. The incision is then closed in multiple layers and dressed according to the surgeon's preference.

Pitfalls

Irreducible hernia contents If the hernia contents are unable to be reduced after opening the sac, consider placing the patient in Trendelenburg position and using gentle, steady pressure to again attempt to reduce the hernia. If the hernia contents are still irreducible, this may be secondary to a constricting internal ring, which needs to be divided. The ring should be divided sharply on the lateral edge to avoid injuring the inferior epigastric vessels and cord structures. Consider placement of your finger or an instrument through the internal ring to help dilate the ring, protect the hernia sac contents, and also guide the ligating instrument. The internal ring requires repair before the completion of the procedure.

Nonviable hernia contents If there is a question about the viability of the bowel postreduction, then it is reasonable to cover it with moist, warm gauze and to reevaluate it after a few minutes. If it does not appear viable, then bowel resection with primary anastomosis may be performed through various approaches, including through the inguinal incision, a right lower quadrant incision, or midline laparotomy.

When performing the bowel resection through the inguinal incision, additional bowel proximal and distal to the necrotic section needs to be pulled out through the inguinal ring for inspection and also to allow for a tension-free anastomosis. The internal ring may need to be divided for ease of removal and subsequent reduction of the bowel, with the new anastomosis, back into the abdomen.

A right lower quadrant incision, such as a La Roque incision, may also be performed as an extension of the existing inguinal incision. A La Roque incision is a gridiron incision through the abdominal wall muscles and transversalis fascia above the internal ring.²⁵ This incision is performed by extending the incision laterally to the McBurney point through the external oblique muscle and then splitting the internal oblique, transversalis muscle, and fascia in a separate incision 2 to 3 cm above the internal ring, so as to prevent disrupting the entire inguinal floor.²⁶ This incision allows visualization of the hernia entering the internal ring directly above it, and provides sufficient exposure to perform a bowel resection.

Other options are a midline laparotomy and laparoscopy-assisted minilaparotomy, the latter resulting in a smaller midline incision but still allowing for a thorough inspection of the bowel.

A right lower quadrant incision, midline laparotomy, and laparoscopy-assisted minilaparotomy may be considered if there is concern about adequate width of the internal ring to allow for proper inspection of the bowel and also reduction of the new anastomosis back into the abdomen without putting it at risk of injury. Resecting the compromised bowel through the inguinal incision and then transitioning to one of these other methods, such as the laparoscopy-assisted minilaparotomy, to perform the anastomosis is also an option.

Spontaneous reduction of hernia contents before inspection Placing the patient in reverse Trendelenburg during induction may avoid spontaneous reduction of the hernia contents. If the hernia contents do reduce before they can be fully inspected, it is reasonable to continue with the hernia repair and closely observe the patient for peritonitis postoperatively, unless there is evidence of foul-smelling or bloody fluid intra-operatively.¹⁶ Laparoscopy through the hernia sac may also be used as an adjunct to evaluate the bowel in this situation.²⁷

Girls

Uterine adnexa are found in 15% to 31% of inguinal hernia sacs.²⁸ Incarceration risk is estimated to be between 4% and 15%, with strangulation occurring in 2% to 33% of incarcerated ovaries.^{7,28–30} Unlike the mechanism of testicular infarction, which primarily occurs from compression of the gonadal vessels at the internal ring by incarcerated bowel, ovarian infarction primarily occurs secondary to ovarian torsion.^{29,31} This higher risk of torsion in incarcerated ovaries is attributed to narrowing of the angle between the suspensory ligament of the ovary and the ovarian ligament, creating a bell-clapper–like deformity, so the ligaments are no longer able to properly support the ovary, predisposing it to twisting.²⁹

There is no clear consensus on how urgently an asymptomatic incarcerated ovary requires surgery. Some studies recommend urgent intervention (within 24–48 hours),^{32,33} whereas other studies recommend emergent intervention of all incarcerated ovaries, including asymptomatic and chronic incarcerations, given the higher risk of torsion.^{29,34,35} Based on a 2005 survey of pediatric surgeons, 50% operate at the next available opportunity, whereas 32% operate urgently or emergently.²⁰

Girls also have a higher risk of sliding hernias involving the uterus, fallopian tubes, ovaries, and/or bladder, so a more distal ligation of the hernia sac may be required to avoid injury to these structures. Goldstein and Potts³⁷ described a technique in which the portion of the sac that contains the sliding hernia is dissected along its border creating a flap, which is then folded and placed intraperitoneally. The remaining sac is closed with a purse-string suture.^{8,16,36,37}

Management of necrotic gonads

The appearance of necrotic ovaries and testes at the time of operation does not necessarily signify irreversible damage or predict future functionality. Multiple studies report that, even with evidence of ovarian ischemia (black or blue discoloration with failure to improve after detorsion), most ovaries are viable on follow-up with evidence of follicular development.^{38–40} Similarly, ischemic-appearing testes after reduction of an incarcerated hernia may survive in 25% to 50% of cases.⁴¹ Testicular atrophy is reported to result in 2.3% to 15% of incarcerated hernias (manually and operatively reduced), although some of these cases may be a result of the surgery.¹¹ Given the potential for retained functionality, the current recommendation is for close postoperative monitoring with avoidance of testicular resection, unless there is frank necrosis present.^{7,8}

Laparoscopic Repair

Laparoscopy is now seen as a safe approach to the management of incarcerated inguinal hernias, and there are multiple laparoscopic intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal techniques being used.

Intraperitoneal approach

A Veress needle is often placed through an infraumbilical incision. Once the desired intra-abdominal pressure is achieved (usually 10–12 mm Hg), a laparoscope is inserted through a port at the umbilicus. Two additional trocars or 3-mm stab incisions are placed in the left and right lower quadrants. The hernia is then reduced using blunt graspers and possibly external compression. Insufflation may also make reduction technically easier because it widens the internal ring.⁴² The abdominal cavity and bowel are then inspected. Bowel resection is performed intracorporeally or through a small umbilical incision extracorporeally as needed. Afterward, the herniorrhaphy is performed.

There are multiple techniques described to close the defect. High ligation and closure of the ring may be performed using a variety of suturing techniques, including a Z stitch, a purse-string suture, running suture, and interrupted sutures.^{43–46} Endoloop ligation has also been used to perform high ligation, but this is used in girls only, because there is a high risk of injury to the cord structures.⁴⁷ There is also the flip-flap technique, which is performed by raising a peritoneal flap anterolateral to the inguinal ring and rotating it medially over the ring and suturing it.⁴⁸ Resection of the hernia sac without ligation has also been described, and it is performed by dissecting the hernia sac away from the cord structures and then resecting the entire processus vaginalis with a rim of surrounding peritoneum. The defect is not closed and it is thought that peritoneal scarring closes the inguinal ring. Riquelme and colleagues⁴⁹ performed this procedure in 91 patients, excluding those who had a wide inguinal ring (>1 cm), and had no evidence of inguinal hernia recurrence during a follow-up period of 5 months to 4 years.

Percutaneous/extracorporeal

Single-port and 2-port techniques have both been described in repair of the inguinal ligament. The SEAL (subcutaneous endoscopically assisted ligation) and PIRS (percutaneous internal ring suturing) techniques are both variations of a single-port technique with placement of a laparoscope through the umbilicus and percutaneous placement of a suture around the internal ring.^{44,50,51}

Variations of the single-port technique are the hook method and the author's variation of the PIRS technique, which include placement of a 3-mm grasper through a stab incision without placement of a trocar. The hook method is performed by using a hook to bluntly dissect around the internal ring and then looping a suture around the internal ring, which is then tied down to ligate the hernia sac.⁵² The variation of the PIRS technique that is used by the author is described in detail elsewhere.⁵³ It involves cauterization of the internal ring using a 3-mm Maryland dissector, avoiding the portion near the cord structures. This variation, in theory, leads to scarring, which reinforces the closure and decreases the risk of recurrence. Hydrodissection is then performed using either saline or local anesthetic to create a plane between the cord structures and peritoneum. Using a combination of a curved needle and looped sutures, a double-suture ligation is performed around the inguinal ring. Two-port techniques are similar to these techniques but a trocar is placed to pass the additional instruments through.^{44,54}

Single-port, 2-port, and 3-port techniques have all been effectively used in incarcerated inguinal hernia management.^{42,54–57}

Outcomes

Recurrence

Recurrence rates of elective open inguinal hernia repair have been reported between 0.3% and 3.8%, with a more recent case series showing a recurrence rate of

1.2%.^{7,30,58,59} Recurrence rates for laparoscopic repair are reported between 0.73% and 4.1%.^{60–63} Incarcerated inguinal hernias have higher recurrence rates, reported between 1.2% and 20%.^{15,30,64}

Complications

Perioperative complications (ischemia of testis, ovary, or bowel; surgical site infection; injury to surrounding structures) are reported between 4.5% and 33% in incarcerated hernias compared with between 0.6% and 1% in elective hernia repairs in healthy full-term infants.^{5,15–17} Testicular atrophy after testicular infarction is estimated between 2.3% and 15%^{5,11} compared with 0.3% in elective hernia repairs.⁷ Wound infection rates are between 0.8% and 2%,^{5,7,65} with higher rates in incarcerated hernias. Other complications include injury to surrounding structures, such as the vas deferens and bladder, which are reported to be higher in incarcerated hernias because of the edema, which may increase the difficulty of the dissection.¹⁰

Laparoscopic Versus Open Repair

Both techniques have been compared in the management of incarcerated inguinal hernias and have similar outcomes⁶⁶; however, laparoscopy may have advantages compared with the conventional open repair. These advantages include easier reduction because of mechanical widening of the internal ring from pneumoperitoneum, direct visualization of the bowel to ensure complete reduction and viability, and easier visualization of the contralateral groin. It is also described as technically easier because it requires minimal dissection of the edematous tissue.⁴² Laparoscopy has also been associated with a shorter hospital stay, decreased postoperative pain, and better cosmetic results,⁵⁶ although other studies report comparable hospital stays and postoperative complications.⁶⁶ There are also data suggesting possible decreased recurrence rates in laparoscopic resection compared with open resection, which is attributed to the lack of dissection of the friable hernia sac.^{64,67}

Contralateral Groin Exploration

The incidence of a contralateral inguinal hernia is cited as between 5.6% and 31%, with higher incidences found in younger children (aged <2 years) and premature infants.^{3,7,10,30,68,69} There is ongoing debate about whether contralateral groin exploration should be performed, especially in premature infants, given their high incidence of a contralateral hernia or patent processus vaginalis (PPV). Routine exploration evaluates for and treats a subclinical contralateral hernia and PPV, avoiding a repeat operation and future risk of incarceration. However, because not all PPVs develop into clinical hernias and there is currently no way of predicting which ones are at risk, this may result in a large number of unnecessary operations and expose patients to unnecessary surgical risks.

The incidence of PPV in infants is reported between 48% and 63%, with the highest incidence found in patients within the first 2 months of life. Rowe and colleagues⁷⁰ studied the incidence of contralateral PPV during routine open exploration and found that the incidence of PPV decreased with age. Based on this, they concluded that up to 40% of PPVs close within the first few months of life, and a subsequent 20% close by 2 years of age. Surana and Puri⁷¹ also showed a similar trend with open explorations. Some investigators argue that open exploration may overestimate the incidence of PPV, but laparoscopic explorations also found a similar incidence of 46% to 48% in children less than 2 years of age, ^{72–74} also showing a decreasing incidence in older children. Furthermore, PPV is less frequently found in adults (15%–37% in autopsy studies⁷⁵ and 12% in laparoscopic studies⁷⁶), which further supports that they may

close. In addition, multiple studies have reported a low risk of developing a contralateral inguinal hernia. Clark and colleagues⁷⁷ found, in a retrospective review of more than 7000 patients, that only 3.8% develop a contralateral inguinal hernia at 10 years. Similarly, Ron and colleagues⁷⁸ found that 14 contralateral explorations are required to prevent 1 hernia. Given this, routine contralateral open groin exploration is not recommended.^{75,79–83}

Laparoscopic evaluation (transumbilical or transinguinal) for a contralateral PPV has been described as a safe and effective alternative to open exploration because it does not require a separate incision and minimally increases the overall operation time.^{72,74,84,85} Laparoscopic evaluation has been found to have a high sensitivity and specificity in diagnosing a contralateral PPV and hernia (99.4% and 99.5% respectively⁸⁵), but has poor predictive value in identifying which PPV will develop into clinical hernias (11%⁸⁶). Given this poor positive predictive value, and the potential risk of cord injury in boys, there is debate about laparoscopic repair of a PPV. Burd and colleagues⁸⁷ argue that the risks of observation, which are morbidity from incarceration and repeat anesthesia exposure, are less than the risk of perioperative complications that may occur from laparoscopic repair. Lee and colleagues⁸⁸ alternatively argue that laparoscopic repair of a contralateral PPV is more cost-effective to the patient compared with a subsequent repair, although it does subject some patients to unnecessary operations. Despite these risks, if given the option, most parents prefer to have the contralateral PPV repaired at the time of the initial operation, mainly citing convenience as the reason.⁸⁹ At this time, there does not seem to be agreement on routine laparoscopic repair of contralateral PPV, except in patients at a higher risk of developing a contralateral hernia (ie, those with left-sided hernia, connective tissue disorders, higher intra-abdominal pressure) and in patients with a higher anesthesia risk (ie, cardiopulmonary issues).^{68,83,85}

Postoperative Care

Most patients are discharged home on postoperative day 0 to 1 unless additional interventions, such as bowel resection, were performed. Close monitoring for postoperative apnea is recommended in premature infants, with overnight ICU care recommended in high-risk patients.²¹

UMBILICAL HERNIA Anatomy and Pathophysiology

The classic description of in utero intestinal development states that the primitive intestine herniates through the umbilical ring where it elongates and rotates 270° before returning to the abdominal cavity.^{90–92} Following this, the physiologic diastasis recti gradually resolves as the left and right rectus abdominis muscles migrate medially to occlude the umbilical ring. Disruptions to this process are thought to cause umbilical hernia.⁹³

Clinical Presentation and Evaluation

Although umbilical hernia is a common entity in children,⁹⁴ the overall rate of incarceration is traditionally thought to be extremely low, estimated at 0.07% to 0.3%.^{95–97} However, the rate of incarceration in some African populations has been observed to be as high as 40%.^{98–100}

Presenting symptoms of umbilical hernia incarceration are those seen commonly with bowel obstruction, namely abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting. Physical examination shows umbilical hernia, abdominal distention, and abdominal tenderness to palpation. There may be skin changes, such as erythema, associated with the umbilicus.^{94,101–104} Although the traditional presentation is that of acute umbilical hernia incarceration, some patients have been observed to experience symptomatic, recurrent incarceration of umbilical hernia followed by spontaneous reduction.¹⁰⁰

Diagnosis

Diagnosis of incarcerated umbilical hernia may be made via history and physical examination alone. However, plain abdominal radiographs may show radiographic evidence of bowel obstruction.^{104,105}

Surgical Timing

In patients with peritonitis or evidence of perforated or gangrenous bowel, surgery should not be delayed. Otherwise, reduction of the incarcerated bowel from the umbilical hernia should be performed and the surgery performed at the earliest convenience. Surgery should also be performed in an emergent fashion if attempts at hernia reduction fail.¹⁰⁰

Surgical Technique

The technique for repair of umbilical hernia is straightforward and has been well described.^{106,107} The presence of incarcerated material does not necessarily change this technique.

The patient is placed in the supine position. The entire abdomen should be draped and prepped in case a larger incision is required. An infraumbilical or paraumbilical incision is performed. Dissection, either sharply or with electrocautery, is carried down to the level of the hernia sac. The umbilical hernia may contain preperitoneal adipose tissue, omentum, small bowel, large bowel, or a combination of these.^{104,105} The viability of any involved bowel must be determined. This, along with any bowel resection and anastomosis, may be possible through the initial incision, or may require enlarging the fascial opening and skin incision. The hernia sac is freed circumferentially from the fascia and subcutaneous tissues, before it and its contents are reduced into the abdomen. There is no proven benefit to resection of the hernia sac.¹⁰⁸ The linea alba is closed in a simple fashion and the skin is closed over this. Umbilicoplasty may be performed for improved cosmetic results, particularly for very large hernias.

Minimally invasive technique for repair has been described,¹⁰⁹ but this technique requires modification to fully assess the bowel and/or perform a bowel resection and anastomosis.

Postoperatively, patients may benefit from a period of nil per os with nasogastric decompression, particularly if an ileus is anticipated (eg, in patients who underwent bowel resection, those with significantly dilated bowel, or individuals who experienced delayed diagnosis and treatment).

FEMORAL HERNIA

A femoral hernia is a protrusion through the femoral ring into the femoral canal. These hernias make up less than 1% of groin hernias¹¹⁰ and there have only been a limited number of case reports published describing incarceration.¹¹¹ Diagnosis can be challenging, with femoral hernia often misdiagnosed as inguinal hernia, because both may present with groin pain and bulge.¹¹² Definitive diagnosis sometimes may only occur at the time of surgery.¹¹³

Open repair occurs via an inguinal incision. The femoral defect is closed by suturing the inguinal ligament to the pectineal ligament.¹¹⁴ Laparoscopic repair may be

accomplished by dissecting the hernia sac from the femoral canal followed by patch and plug with prosthetic material. If the defect is very large, the iliopubic tract may be approximated to the pectineal ligament with intracorporeal suture and prosthetic patch placement over this.¹¹⁵ Similarly, a laparoscopic percutaneous extracorporeal closure needle may be used to percutaneously suture the pectineal ligament to the iliopubic tract.¹¹⁶ In addition, repair may be accomplished in a laparoscopicassisted fashion with 1 abdominal incision and 1 groin incision.^{117,118}

SPIGELIAN HERNIA

Spigelian hernia, also known as lateral ventral hernia, is a rare entity in pediatric surgery. Herniation occurs through the aponeurosis of the transverse abdominis, between the rectus abdominis muscle medially and the linea semilunaris laterally.¹¹⁹ Patients typically present with complaints of abdominal pain and abdominal tenderness to palpation on examination. Patients may have a history of abdominal trauma.¹²⁰ Repair is effected by incision directly over, or in close proximity to, the hernia with evaluation of the bowel and a subsequent tissue repair to close the hernia defect.^{120,121}

EPIGASTRIC HERNIA

Epigastric hernia typically occurs in the midline, superior to the umbilicus. These lesions tend to be solitary, but may be multiple. They comprise up to 4% of pediatric abdominal wall hernias.¹²² There are no reports of bowel incarceration within an epigastric hernia, possibly because of the falciform ligament covering the visceral side of the fascial defect.¹⁰⁹ However, incarcerated preperitoneal fat may be encountered.^{109,123,124}

Whether repaired in an open or laparoscopic fashion, the epigastric hernia must be marked at the skin level before induction of anesthesia, otherwise the hernia may become difficult to identify intraoperatively. Open repair is achieved by transverse skin incision over the hernia, reduction of any incarcerated fat and hernia sac, and primary closure of the fascial defect.¹⁰⁹ Laparoscopic repair may be accomplished via intracorporeal suturing of the defect¹⁰⁹ or percutaneous suturing.^{123,124}

DIRECT INGUINAL HERNIA

Direct inguinal hernia, which is a herniation through the Hesselbach triangle, comprises less than 1% of pediatric inguinal hernias. Diagnosis is difficult, both preoperatively and intraoperatively.^{125–127} Furthermore, incarcerated pediatric direct inguinal hernia is extremely rare.¹²⁸

Open techniques for repair are similar to those described in adults, using a tissueonly approach. Laparoscopic approaches have also been described.^{127,129}

LUMBAR

Fewer than 100 cases of congenital lumbar hernia have been described¹³⁰ with only 1 case of incarceration.¹³¹ Repair may be performed in an open fashion, with incision over the hernia,¹³⁰ or laparoscopically.¹³²

SUMMARY

Indirect inguinal hernias are the most commonly incarcerated pediatric hernias, with a high risk of recurrence and increased perioperative morbidity compared with elective

hernia repair. Laparoscopy is increasingly being used in the management of incarcerated pediatric hernias with similar outcomes to open surgery.

REFERENCES

- 1. Brandt ML. Pediatric hernias. Surg Clin North Am 2008;88(1):27-43.
- 2. Chang S-J, Chen JY-C, Hsu C-K, et al. The incidence of inguinal hernia and associated risk factors of incarceration in pediatric inguinal hernia: a nation-wide longitudinal population-based study. Hernia 2016;20(4):559–63.
- **3.** Burgmeier C, Dreyhaupt J, Schier F. Comparison of inguinal hernia and asymptomatic patent processus vaginalis in term and preterm infants. J Pediatr Surg 2014;49(9):1416–8.
- Lautz TB, Raval MV, Reynolds M. Does timing matter? A national perspective on the risk of incarceration in premature neonates with inguinal hernia. J Pediatr 2011;158(4):573–7.
- Stylianos S, Jacir NN, Harris BH. Incarceration of inguinal hernia in infants prior to elective repair. J Pediatr Surg 1993;28(4):582–3.
- 6. Rajput A, Gauderer MWL, Hack M. Inguinal hernias in very low birth weight infants: incidence and timing of repair. J Pediatr Surg 1992;27(10):1322–4.
- 7. Ein SH, Njere I, Ein A. Six thousand three hundred sixty-one pediatric inguinal hernias: a 35-year review. J Pediatr Surg 2006;41(5):980–6.
- Fraser JD, Snyder CL. Inguinal hernias and hydroceles. In: Ashcraft KW, Holcomb GW, Murphy JP, et al, editors. Ashcraft's pediatric surgery. 6th edition. London: Saunders/Elsevier; 2014. p. 679–86.
- 9. Mishra DS, Magu S, Sharma N, et al. Imaging in acute abdomen. Indian J Pediatr 2003;70(1):15–9.
- 10. Lau ST, Lee Y-H, Caty MG. Current management of hernias and hydroceles. Semin Pediatr Surg 2007;16(1):50–7.
- 11. Puri P, Guiney EJ, O'Donnell B. Inguinal hernia in infants: the fate of the testis following incarceration. J Pediatr Surg 1984;19(1):44–6.
- Goldman RD, Balasubramanian S, Wales P, et al. Pediatric surgeons and pediatric emergency physicians' attitudes towards analgesia and sedation for incarcerated inguinal hernia reduction. J Pain 2005;6(10):650–5.
- Ibrahim AM, Ponsky TA. Inguinal hernia. In: Pachl M, De La Hunt MN, Jawaheer G, editors. Key clinical topics in paediatric surgery. London: JP Medical; 2014. p. 176–8.
- Strauch ED, Voigt RW, Hill JL. Gangrenous intestine in a hernia can be reduced. J Pediatr Surg 2002;37(6):919–20.
- 15. Niedzielski J, Kr I R, Gawłowska A. Could incarceration of inguinal hernia in children be prevented? Med Sci Monit 2003;9(1):CR16–8.
- 16. Kurkchubasche AG, Tracy TF. Inguinal hernia/hydrocele. Operat Tech Gen Surg 2004;6(4):253–68.
- 17. Rescorla FJ, Grosfeld JL. Inguinal hernia repair in the perinatal period and early infancy: clinical considerations. J Pediatr Surg 1984;19(6):832–7.
- 18. Vaos G, Gardikis S, Kambouri K, et al. Optimal timing for repair of an inguinal hernia in premature infants. Pediatr Surg Int 2010;26(4):379–85.
- Gahukamble D, Khamage A. Early versus delayed repair of reduced incarcerated inguinal hernias in the pediatric population. J Pediatr Surg 1996;31(9): 1218–20.
- 20. Antonoff MB, Kreykes NS, Saltzman DA, et al. American Academy of Pediatrics section on surgery hernia survey revisited. J Pediatr Surg 2005;40(6):1009–14.

- 21. Murphy JJ, Swanson T, Ansermino M, et al. The frequency of apneas in premature infants after inguinal hernia repair: do they need overnight monitoring in the intensive care unit? J Pediatr Surg 2008;43(5):865–8.
- 22. Baird R, Gholoum S, Laberge J-M, et al. Prematurity, not age at operation or incarceration, impacts complication rates of inguinal hernia repair. J Pediatr Surg 2011;46(5):908–11.
- Nagraj S, Sinha S, Grant H, et al. The incidence of complications following primary inguinal herniotomy in babies weighing 5 kg or less. Pediatr Surg Int 2006; 22(6):500–2.
- Jones LJ, Craven PD, Lakkundi A, et al. Regional (spinal, epidural, caudal) versus general anaesthesia in preterm infants undergoing inguinal herniorrhaphy in early infancy. In: The Cochrane Collaboration, editor. Cochrane database of systematic reviews. Chichester (United Kingdom): John Wiley; 2015. p. 1–38. Available at: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/14651858.CD003669.pub2. Accessed July 25, 2016.
- 25. Banks SB, Cotlar AM. Classic groin hernia repair...lest we forget. Curr Surg 2005;62(2):249–52.
- 26. Williams C. Repair of sliding inguinal hernia through the abdominal (Laroque) approach. Ann Surg 1947;126(4):612–23.
- 27. Lin E, Wear K, Tiszenkel HI. Planned reduction of incarcerated groin hernias with hernia sac laparoscopy. Surg Endosc 2002;16(6):936–8.
- 28. Cascini V, Lisi G, Di Renzo D, et al. Irreducible indirect inguinal hernia containing uterus and bilateral adnexa in a premature female infant: report of an exceptional case and review of the literature. J Pediatr Surg 2013;48(1):e17–9.
- 29. Boley SJ, Cahn D, Lauer T, et al. The irreducible ovary: a true emergency. J Pediatr Surg 1991;26(9):1035–8.
- Erdoğan D, Karaman İ, Aslan MK, et al. Analysis of 3776 pediatric inguinal hernia and hydrocele cases in a tertiary center. J Pediatr Surg 2013;48(8):1767–72.
- **31.** Merriman TE, Auldist AW. Ovarian torsion in inguinal hernias. Pediatr Surg Int 2000;16(5–6):383–5.
- Takehara H, Hanaoka J, Arakawa Y. Laparoscopic strategy for inguinal ovarian hernias in children: when to operate for irreducible ovary. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2009;19(Suppl 1):s129–31.
- van Heurn LWE, Pakarinen MP, Wester T. Contemporary management of abdominal surgical emergencies in infants and children: abdominal surgical emergencies in infants and children. Br J Surg 2014;101(1):e24–33.
- 34. Houben CH, Chan KWE, Mou JWC, et al. Irreducible inguinal hernia in children: how serious is it? J Pediatr Surg 2015;50(7):1174–6.
- 35. El Gohary A. Sliding ovary inside a hernia sac; a true emergency. J Pediatr Surg Case Rep 2014;2(12):522–3.
- Fowler CL. Sliding indirect hernia containing both ovaries. J Pediatr Surg 2005; 40(9):e13–4.
- 37. Goldstein IR, Potts WJ. Inguinal hernia in female infants and children. Ann Surg 1958;148(5):819–22.
- Parelkar SV, Mundada D, Sanghvi BV, et al. Should the ovary always be conserved in torsion? A tertiary care institute experience. J Pediatr Surg 2014; 49(3):465–8.
- **39.** Aziz D, Davis V, Allen L, et al. Ovarian torsion in children: is oophorectomy necessary? J Pediatr Surg 2004;39(5):750–3.
- 40. Çelik A, Ergün O, Aldemir H, et al. Long-term results of conservative management of adnexal torsion in children. J Pediatr Surg 2005;40(4):704–8.

- 41. Hill MR, Pollock WF, Sprong DH. Testicular infarction and incarcerated inguinal herniae. Arch Surg 1962;85:351–4.
- 42. Kaya M, Hückstedt T, Schier F. Laparoscopic approach to incarcerated inguinal hernia in children. J Pediatr Surg 2006;41(3):567–9.
- **43.** Chan KL, Tam PK. A safe laparoscopic technique for the repair of inguinal hernias in boys. J Am Coll Surg 2003;196(6):987–9.
- 44. Saranga Bharathi R, Arora M, Baskaran V. Minimal access surgery of pediatric inguinal hernias: a review. Surg Endosc 2008;22(8):1751–62.
- 45. Schier F. Laparoscopic surgery of inguinal hernias in children—initial experience. J Pediatr Surg 2000;35(9):1331–5.
- **46.** Gorsler CM, Schier F. Laparoscopic herniorrhaphy in children. Surg Endosc 2003;17(4):571–3.
- 47. Zallen G, Glick PL. Laparoscopic inversion and ligation inguinal hernia repair in girls. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2007;17(1):143–5.
- **48.** Yip KF, Tam PKH, Li MKW. Laparoscopic flip-flap hernioplasty: an innovative technique for pediatric hernia surgery. Surg Endosc 2004;18(7):1126–9.
- Riquelme M, Aranda A, Riquelme- QM. Laparoscopic pediatric inguinal hernia repair: no ligation, just resection. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2010; 20(1):77–80.
- Patkowski D, Czernik J, Chrzan R, et al. Percutaneous internal ring suturing: a simple minimally invasive technique for inguinal hernia repair in children. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2006;16(5):513–7.
- Harrison MR, Lee H, Albanese CT, et al. Subcutaneous endoscopically assisted ligation (SEAL) of the internal ring for repair of inguinal hernias in children: a novel technique. J Pediatr Surg 2005;40(7):1177–80.
- 52. Tam YH, Lee KH, Sihoe JDY, et al. Laparoscopic hernia repair in children by the hook method: a single-center series of 433 consecutive patients. J Pediatr Surg 2009;44(8):1502–5.
- **53.** Ostlie DJ, Ponsky TA. Technical options of the laparoscopic pediatric inguinal hernia repair. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2014;24(3):194–8.
- 54. Shalaby R, Moniem Shams A, Mohamed S, et al. Two-trocar needlescopic approach to incarcerated inguinal hernia in children. J Pediatr Surg 2007; 42(7):1259–62.
- 55. Chan KWE, Lee KH, Tam YH, et al. Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair by the hook method in emergency setting in children presenting with incarcerated inguinal hernia. J Pediatr Surg 2011;46(10):1970–3.
- **56.** Zhou X, Peng L, Sha Y, et al. Transumbilical endoscopic surgery for incarcerated inguinal hernias in infants and children. J Pediatr Surg 2014;49(1):214–7.
- 57. Koivusalo A, Pakarinen MP, Rintala RJ. Laparoscopic herniorrhaphy after manual reduction of incarcerated inguinal hernia. Surg Endosc 2007;21(12): 2147–9.
- 58. Grosfeld JL, Minnick K, Shedd F, et al. Inguinal hernia in children: factors affecting recurrence in 62 cases. J Pediatr Surg 1991;26(3):283–7.
- 59. Tiryaki T, Baskin D, Bulut M. Operative complications of hernia repair in childhood. Pediatr Surg Int 1998;13(2–3):160–1.
- **60.** Schier F. Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair—a prospective personal series of 542 children. J Pediatr Surg 2006;41(6):1081–4.
- 61. Parelkar SV, Oak S, Gupta R, et al. Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair in the pediatric age group—experience with 437 children. J Pediatr Surg 2010; 45(4):789–92.

- Schier F, Montupet P, Esposito C. Laparoscopic inguinal herniorrhaphy in children: a three-center experience with 933 repairs. J Pediatr Surg 2002;37(3): 395–7.
- Takehara H, Yakabe S, Kameoka K. Laparoscopic percutaneous extraperitoneal closure for inguinal hernia in children: clinical outcome of 972 repairs done in 3 pediatric surgical institutions. J Pediatr Surg 2006;41(12):1999–2003.
- 64. Esposito C, Turial S, Alicchio F, et al. Laparoscopic repair of incarcerated inguinal hernia. A safe and effective procedure to adopt in children. Hernia 2013;17(2):235–9.
- 65. Meier AH, Ricketts RR. Surgical complications of inguinal and abdominal wall hernias. Semin Pediatr Surg 2003;12(2):83–8.
- 66. Mishra PK, Burnand K, Minocha A, et al. Incarcerated inguinal hernia management in children: "a comparison of the open and laparoscopic approach". Pediatr Surg Int 2014;30(6):621–4.
- 67. Dutta S, Albanese C. Transcutaneous laparoscopic hernia repair in children: a prospective review of 275 hernia repairs with minimum 2-year follow-up. Surg Endosc 2009;23(1):103–7.
- **68.** Tackett LD, Breuer CK, Luks FI, et al. Incidence of contralateral inguinal hernia: a prospective analysis. J Pediatr Surg 1999;34(5):684–7 [discussion: 687–8].
- Nataraja RM, Mahomed AA. Systematic review for paediatric metachronous contralateral inguinal hernia: a decreasing concern. Pediatr Surg Int 2011; 27(9):953–61.
- **70.** Rowe MI, Copelson LW, Clatworthy HW. The patent processus vaginalis and the inguinal hernia. J Pediatr Surg 1969;4(1):102–7.
- Surana R, Puri P. Fate of patent processus vaginalis: a case against routine contralateral exploration for unilateral inguinal hernia in children. Pediatr Surg Int 1993;8(5):412–4.
- Lazar DA, Lee TC, Almulhim SI, et al. Transinguinal laparoscopic exploration for identification of contralateral inguinal hernias in pediatric patients. J Pediatr Surg 2011;46(12):2349–52.
- **73.** Toufique Ehsan M, Ng ATL, Chung PHY, et al. Laparoscopic hernioplasties in children: the implication on contralateral groin exploration for unilateral inguinal hernias. Pediatr Surg Int 2009;25(9):759–62.
- 74. Yerkes EB, Brock JW, Holcomb GW, et al. Laparoscopic evaluation for a contralateral patent processus vaginalis: part III. Urology 1998;51(3):480–3.
- Shabbir J, Moore A, O'Sullivan JB, et al. Contralateral groin exploration is not justified in infants with a unilateral inguinal hernia. Ir J Med Sci 2003;172(1): 18–9.
- **76.** van Veen RN, van Wessem KJP, Halm JA, et al. Patent processus vaginalis in the adult as a risk factor for the occurrence of indirect inguinal hernia. Surg Endosc 2007;21(2):202–5.
- 77. Clark JJ, Limm W, Wong LL. What is the likelihood of requiring contralateral inguinal hernia repair after unilateral repair? Am J Surg 2011;202(6):754–8.
- **78.** Ron O, Eaton S, Pierro A. Systematic review of the risk of developing a metachronous contralateral inguinal hernia in children. Br J Surg 2007;94(7):804–11.
- **79.** Maillet OP, Garnier S, Dadure C, et al. Inguinal hernia in premature boys: should we systematically explore the contralateral side? J Pediatr Surg 2014;49(9): 1419–23.
- 80. Ballantyne A, Jawaheer G, Munro FD. Contralateral groin exploration is not justified in infants with a unilateral inguinal hernia. Br J Surg 2001;88(5):720–3.

- **81.** Chertin B, De Caluwé D, Gajaharan M, et al. Is contralateral exploration necessary in girls with unilateral inguinal hernia? J Pediatr Surg 2003;38(5):756–7.
- 82. Marulaiah M, Atkinson J, Kukkady A, et al. Is contralateral exploration necessary in preterm infants with unilateral inguinal hernia? J Pediatr Surg 2006;41(12): 2004–7.
- Ikeda H, Suzuki N, Takahashi A, et al. Risk of contralateral manifestation in children with unilateral inguinal hernia: should hernia in children be treated contralaterally? J Pediatr Surg 2000;35(12):1746–8.
- 84. Saad S, Mansson J, Saad A, et al. Ten-year review of groin laparoscopy in 1001 pediatric patients with clinical unilateral inguinal hernia: an improved technique with transhernia multiple-channel scope. J Pediatr Surg 2011;46(5):1011–4.
- 85. Miltenburg DM, Nuchtern JG, Jaksic T, et al. Laparoscopic evaluation of the pediatric inguinal hernia–a meta-analysis. J Pediatr Surg 1998;33(6):874–9.
- **86.** Maddox MM, Smith DP. A long-term prospective analysis of pediatric unilateral inguinal hernias: should laparoscopy or anything else influence the management of the contralateral side? J Pediatr Urol 2008;4(2):141–5.
- Burd RS, Heffington SH, Teague JL. The optimal approach for management of metachronous hernias in children: a decision analysis. J Pediatr Surg 2001; 36(8):1190–5.
- Lee SL, Sydorak RM, Lau ST. Laparoscopic contralateral groin exploration: is it cost effective? J Pediatr Surg 2010;45(4):793–5.
- **89.** Holcomb GW, Miller KA, Chaignaud BE, et al. The parental perspective regarding the contralateral inguinal region in a child with a known unilateral inguinal hernia. J Pediatr Surg 2004;39(3):480–2.
- **90.** Kim WK, Kim H, Ahn DH, et al. Timetable for intestinal rotation in staged human embryos and fetuses. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2003;67(11):941–5.
- 91. Metzger R, Wachowiak R, Kluth D. Embryology of the early foregut. Semin Pediatr Surg 2011;20(3):136–44.
- **92.** Soffers JH, Hikspoors JP, Mekonen HK, et al. The growth pattern of the human intestine and its mesentery. BMC Dev Biol 2015;15(1):31.
- **93.** Mekonen HK, Hikspoors JPJM, Mommen G, et al. Development of the ventral body wall in the human embryo. J Anat 2015;227(5):673–85.
- 94. Papagrigoriadis S, Browse DJ, Howard ER. Incarceration of umbilical hernias in children: a rare but important complication. Pediatr Surg Int 1998;14(3):231–2.
- 95. Crump EP. Umbilical hernia. I. Occurrence of the infantile type in Negro infants and children. J Pediatr 1952;40(2):214–23.
- **96.** Mestel AL, Burns H. Incarcerated and strangulated umbilical hernias in infants and children. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 1963;2:368–70.
- 97. Blumberg NA. Infantile umbilical hernia. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1980;150(2): 187–92.
- **98.** Mawera G, Muguti GI. Umbilical hernia in Bulawayo: some observations from a hospital based study. Cent Afr J Med 1994;40(11):319–23.
- **99.** Ameh EA, Chirdan LB, Nmadu PT, et al. Complicated umbilical hernias in children. Pediatr Surg Int 2003;19(4):280–2.
- 100. Chirdan LB, Uba AF, Kidmas AT. Incarcerated umbilical hernia in children. Eur J Pediatr Surg 2006;16(1):45–8.
- 101. Vrsansky P, Bourdelat D. Incarcerated umbilical hernia in children. Pediatr Surg Int 1997;12(1):61–2.
- 102. Okada T, Yoshida H, Iwai J, et al. Strangulated umbilical hernia in a child: report of a case. Surg Today 2001;31(6):546–9.

- 103. Keshtgar AS, Griffiths M. Incarceration of umbilical hernia in children: is the trend increasing? Eur J Pediatr Surg 2003;13(1):40–3.
- 104. Brown RA, Numanoglu A, Rode H. Complicated umbilical hernia in childhood. S Afr J Surg 2006;44(4):136–7.
- Fall I, Sanou A, Ngom G, et al. Strangulated umbilical hernias in children. Pediatr Surg Int 2006;22(3):233–5.
- 106. Kokoska E, Weber TR. Umbilical and supraumbilical disease. In: Ziegler MM, Azizkhan RG, Von Allmen D, et al, editors. Operative pediatric surgery. 2nd edition. 2014. Available at: http://accesssurgery.mhmedical.com/book.aspx? bookid=959. Accessed July 25, 2016.
- 107. Ashcraft KW, Holcomb GW, Murphy JP, et al, editors. Umbilical and other abdominal wall hernias. In: Ashcraft's pediatric surgery. 6th edition. London: Sa-unders/Elsevier; 2014.
- 108. Alvear DT, Pilling GP. Management of the sac during umbilical hernia repair in children. Am J Surg 1974;127(5):518–20.
- 109. Albanese CT, Rengal S, Bermudez D. A novel laparoscopic technique for the repair of pediatric umbilical and epigastric hernias. J Pediatr Surg 2006;41(4): 859–62.
- 110. Al-Shanafey S, Giacomantonio M. Femoral hernia in children. J Pediatr Surg 1999;34(7):1104–6.
- 111. Tsushimi T, Takahashi T, Gohra H, et al. A case of incarcerated femoral hernia in an infant. J Pediatr Surg 2005;40(3):581–3.
- 112. De Caluwé D, Chertin B, Puri P. Childhood femoral hernia: a commonly misdiagnosed condition. Pediatr Surg Int 2003;19(8):608–9.
- 113. Temiz A, Akcora B, Temiz M, et al. A rare and frequently unrecognised pathology in children: femoral hernia. Hernia 2008;12(5):553–6.
- 114. Ollero Fresno JC, Alvarez M, Sanchez M, et al. Femoral hernia in childhood: review of 38 cases. Pediatr Surg Int 1997;12(7):520–1.
- 115. Lee SL, DuBois JJ. Laparoscopic diagnosis and repair of pediatric femoral hernia: initial experience of four cases. Surg Endosc 2000;14(12):1110–3.
- 116. Tainaka T, Uchida H, Ono Y, et al. A new modification of laparoscopic percutaneous extraperitoneal closure procedure for repairing pediatric femoral hernias involving a special needle and a wire loop. Nagoya J Med Sci 2015;77(3):531–5.
- 117. Adibe OO, Hansen EN, Seifarth FG, et al. Laparoscopic-assisted repair of femoral hernias in children. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2009;19(5):691–4.
- Tan SY, Stevens MJ, Mueller CM. A novel laparoscopic-assisted approach to the repair of pediatric femoral hernias. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2013; 23(11):946–8.
- 119. Skandalakis PN, Zoras O, Skandalakis JE, et al. Spigelian hernia: surgical anatomy, embryology, and technique of repair. Am Surg 2006;72(1):42–8.
- 120. Losanoff J, Richman B, Jones J. Spigelian hernia in a child: case report and review of the literature. Hernia 2002;6(4):191–3.
- 121. Vaos G, Gardikis S, Zavras N. Strangulated low spigelian hernia in children: report of two cases. Pediatr Surg Int 2005;21(9):736–8.
- 122. Coats RD, Helikson MA, Burd RS. Presentation and management of epigastric hernias in children. J Pediatr Surg 2000;35(12):1754–6.
- 123. Babsail AA, Abelson JS, Liska D, et al. Single-incision pediatric endosurgical epigastric hernia repair. Hernia 2014;18(3):357–60.
- 124. Moreira-Pinto J, Correia-Pinto J. Scarless laparoscopic repair of epigastric hernia in children. Hernia 2015;19(4):623–6.

- 125. Viidik T, Marshall DG. Direct inguinal hernias in infancy and early childhood. J Pediatr Surg 1980;15(5):646–7.
- 126. Wright JE. Direct inguinal hernia in infancy and childhood. Pediatr Surg Int 1994; 9(3):161–3.
- 127. Schier F. Direct inguinal hernias in children: laparoscopic aspects. Pediatr Surg Int 2000;16(8):562–4.
- **128.** Gnidec AA, Marshall DG. Incarcerated direct inguinal hernia containing uterus, both ovaries, and fallopian tubes. J Pediatr Surg 1986;21(11):986.
- 129. Schier F. Laparoscopic herniorrhaphy in girls. J Pediatr Surg 1998;33(10): 1495–7.
- Sharma A, Pandey A, Rawat J, et al. Congenital lumbar hernia: 20 years' single centre experience: congenital lumbar hernia. J Paediatr Child Health 2012; 48(11):1001–3.
- 131. Hancock BJ, Wiseman NE. Incarcerated congenital lumbar hernia associated with the lumbocostovertebral syndrome. J Pediatr Surg 1988;23(8):782–3.
- **132.** Zwaveling S, van der Zee D. Laparoscopic repair of an isolated congenital bilateral lumbar hernia in an infant. Eur J Pediatr Surg 2012;22(04):321–3.